

## Minutes of a meeting of the Governors of St Hilary School ; Tuesday 5<sup>th</sup> December 2017.

Present:			
Dave Sharp (Chair)	DS	Ashley Larter	AL
Kelley Butcher (Headteacher)	KB	Louise Greygoose	LG
Erika Hewett	EH	Joher Anjari	JAn
Lucy Beckerleg	LB	Peter Scrase	PS
Nick Kelly	NK	Rob Hamshar	RH
Michelle Brant	MB	Yvonne Oates	YO
Jo Atkinson	JA		
Alex Cock	AC		
		Janet Standring (Clerk)	JS
Apologies:		Pecuniary Interests:	
Yvonne Oates (late arrival) Erika Hewett (late arrival) Joher Anjari (late arrival)	YO EH JAn	The relevant documentation was completed	

		Action
1	Minutes:	
1.1.	The minutes were approved to be accepted with minor amendments as recorded in the minutes. All in favour	
2.	Matters Arising:	
2.1.	The School would be taking over the Breakfast Club the last week of the Autumn term.	
2.2.	The cost would be £3 per session, this would be marginally less than what was charged by Sunny Corner.	
2.3.	Jude Gardner had agreed to run this facility and this would be a separate contract to her teaching contract. She would be supported by a TA	JC
2.4.	Other staff were in the building early if any issues arose	
2.5.	The Child Protection Training would now take place on January 16.	
2.6.	The Finance Budget monitoring is now being checked every 6 weeks by NK JAn CF and KB	NK JAn CF KB
2.7.	Challenge Partners would no longer be taking place following the recent Ofsted Inspection. More peer observation would be taking place in the school over the next term	
2.8	Challenge Partners have offered alternative support as the school had paid for this for the full academic year. This would be reviewed in due course	
2.9		



	RH had undertaken additional Challenge partner training which had	
2.10	proved very useful in term of continuing CPD	
	Governor email addresses; these would be through outlook and KB	
	would circulate instructions as to how these could be accessed	
3.	Head teacher and SEND reports (to include MAT update);	
3.1.	The report had been circulated in advance of the meeting	
3.2.	It was noted that a tremendous range of activities were offered in the	
	school.	
3.3.	How have the boundaries been pushed to encourage children to	
	discuss feelings?	
3.4.	This is offering time and opportunity for the children to discuss issues.	
3.5.	How was the level of response of parents/carers to the Maths initiative?	
3.6.	This was very positive and there were ways in which this could be	
3.0.	developed further. Parents/Carers were enthusiastic and engaged and	
	It was hoped that this would increase the positive attitude of	
	parents/carers with everyone being very pro active	
3.7.	A discussion took place on this.	
3.8.	The school had achieved the Sainsbury's Gold Award for the second	
	year.	
3.9.	There was a member of the support staff leaving at the end of the	
	term	
3.10	MAT update;	
3.11	A meeting had been held yesterday, firstly as a group of head teachers	
	and then to meet with a company that could assist with the branding	
	of the new MAT	
3.12	Discussion had taken place on strengths and what the school's could	
	each offer to the MAT	
3.13	St Hilary were able to offer the strength of curriculum good middle	
	leadership and expertise in Finance. A lot of work had been done in the school with SEN	
3.14	It would be beneficial for policies to be centralised that would save a	
3.15	lot of time in the individual schools, but each school would still retain	
	some individual policies	
3.16	The Head from Five Islands School was able to identify the challenges	
Jc	that they faced on the Scillies	
3.17	St Hilary, Mounts Bay and the Five Islands School had all had recent	
	Ofsted inspections.	
3.18	The personality of the MAT had been discussed	
3.19	A meeting had then been held for the head teachers, members and	
	potential trustees. These included a number of very knowlegable	
	people. It was considered that the MAT should be built of people with	
	the best skills available	
3.20	The timescale for the process was discusssed. It had been decided	
	that letters should be sent to parents/carers by the end of this week	
	from each of the schools.	
3.21	A draft of the letter to go out to parents/carers was circulated and	КВ
	discussed and it was agreed that this should go out to parents/carers	
	with a couple of minor amendments and a link to a MAT website.	
3.22		





	МВ
the best possible was in place for the pupils.	
and Governors	МВ
reapplied for	
This is subject of a constant review	
school	
•	
SEND report;	
schools that were not part of the MAT should the initiative proceed	
It was considered that the school would still be able to work with	
<b>o</b>	
a MAT The MAT ethos can be instilled throughout all schools in the MAT, and	
Why cannot all the initiatives still be followed without being part of a	
A small MAT with the majority of strong schools will probably be	
There are not strong Governing bodies across all schools	
Standards needed to be raised, there was a lot of deprivation in the	
together successfully	
Commissioner and the LA	
There is a certain amount of conflict between the Regional	
beneficial to the school	
A discussion took place with regards to this	
backed the LA	
had decided to form a MAT earlier a lot more schools would have	
larger MAT.	
forming a MAT only to then be told that they would have to join a	
this would include primary and secondary	
The proposals had to be agreed by the Regional Commissioner	
	It was reported that the LA in Cornwall was now looking to form a MAT There was concern that a lot of time and effort could be spent in forming a MAT only to then be told that they would have to join a larger MAT. KB did not feel that this was the case. It was considered that if the LA had decided to form a MAT earlier a lot more schools would have backed the LA A discussion took place with regards to this When St Hilary had originally converted they had continued to purchase some LA services which at the time had been more beneficial to the school There is a certain amount of conflict between the Regional Commissioner and the LA There is a lot of potential for the proposed group of schools to work together successfully Standards needed to be raised, there was a lot of deprivation in the South West and on average children left schools with 22% lower overall results. There are not strong Governing bodies across all schools A small MAT with the majority of strong schools will probably be asked to encompass other schools Why cannot all the initiatives still be followed without being part of a a MAT The MAT ethos can be instilled throughout all schools in the MAT, and it would be an exciting venture to be part of this It was considered that the school would still be able to work with schools that were not part of the MAT should the initiative proceed <b>SEND report;</b> The SEND Action Plan had been circulated in advance of the meeting 12 1/2 % of the school population was on the record of need in the school This is subject of a constant review This year re accreditation for the Inclusion Dyslexia was being reapplied for MB will attend training in January and will then cascade this to staff and Governors It was essential to ensure that all the teachers had good practice and



	THRIVE; this has had a positive impact within the school but a new	
4.10	Company TIS was now supporting this in schools and further training	
	would be attended	
	Provision maps had been circulated to Governors these are used for	
	all children who are on a record of need. The impact of all	
4.11	intervention can be evaluated. Group provision maps are also in use	
4.12	with group targets, this includes PP children as a group	
-	School Improvement Plan; Evidence of SEN is contained within this	
4.13	The model of MB covering PPA throughout the school works well in	
4.14	terms of her assessment of SEN throughout the school	
	The programme in place for dyslexia screening was detailed	
4.15	Tracking and monitoring; one set of Pupil Progress meetings have	
4.16	already taken place	
•	What are the barriers to learning?	
4.17	This can be for a variety of reasons, emotional, attendance, medical	
4.18	etc	
•	Staff appraisals have all been completed	
4.19	Annual reviews have taken place and assessment requests are in the	
. ,	pipeline	
	Thanks were expressed to MB for a comprehensive report	
5	Monitoring Activities;	
5.1	Safeguarding report circulated (KT)	
5.2.	The local safeguarding board is to be replaced and there will be	
	changes to the legislation in the near future	
5.3.	A new document on child protection will be produced next year	
	Radicalization; Schools are the largest indicator of this and online	
	safety has been highlighted as one of the issues	
5.4.	E safety for pupils and parents/carers have been delivered by the	
	Police today	
5.5.	ASP (RAISE); DS, PS and LB had met to discuss this and congratulated	
	the school on an impressive set of results	
5.6.	What are the reasons that Maths is below the national average for	
	attainment at KS2?	
5.7.	This is the effect of the national curriculum 2014 there had been an	
	improvement from the previous year, there was also a number of PP	
	and SEN issues within the cohort. This year's cohort should achieve an	
	improvement in results	
5.8.	Results had generally been good in the remainder of the school	
5.9.	What strategies are in place for progress and improvement in Maths?	
5.10	These were detailed to Governors	
5.11	Why do KS2 results not compare to KS1 results in greater depth and	
	knowledge and understanding.	
5.12	The KS1 were good results this was due to the specific cohort in y6	
5.13	A discussion took place with regards to the differences with the old	
<b>_</b>	and new curriculum	
5.14	Is there an explanation for the dip in phonics in KS1, particularly the	
	boys?	
5.15	This cohort had not achieved on entry into the school, but the cohort	
	this year should demonstrate an improvement. Interventions were in	
1		1



	place for these children but data would have to be analysed further to	
5.16	predict results at KS2	
5.17	What is the current data for maths and phonics?	
	Around 90% in maths. Phonics data to be analysed but this is a more	
5.18	able cohort.	
5.19	A discussion took place on the ability of the mid school cohort	
5.20	Data was demonstrated for each of the year groups	
-	It was considered that a fluency in problems solving was now required	
	in Maths in a test situation	
6	Finance;	
6.1.	Copy of Audited accounts presented	
6.2.	This year will be a very tight budget.	
6.3.	Is the PTA budget contained within this?	
6.4.	This is run separately, the PTA have supported the outside area at the	
	school	
6.5.	A discussion on the use of PTA funding took place	
6.6.	Concern was expressed about adverts for external organisations	
	going out in children's books. It was stated that this only took place	
	for educational activities provided by external organisations for	
	children out of school hours	
6.7.	The PTA Christmas Fayre had been very successful	
7	Learning and Standards:	
7.1.	Recent Ofsted Inspection; This had been circulated and the staff were	
	congratulated for all their hard work	
7.2	Use and Impact of Pupil Premium; This had been emailed to	
	Governors, this was a statutory requirement, it was in a basic format	
	and detailed areas that were being worked on	
	Review and impact of last year's targets were detailed, as linked to	
	the school improvement plan All staff are aware of the Pupil Premium children in their classes and	
7.3.	how they were progressing	
7.4.	It was reported that the differences in pupil premium numbers in	
/.4.	comparison to last year were due to changes in funding and the	
	breakdown of progress made by the y6 cohort	
7.5.	MB was congratulated on the details contained in the document	
1.5		
9	Governance Developments;	
<b>9.1.</b>	Correspondence; None presented	
9.2.	Feedback from Governor Conference;	
9.3.	JA reported on the recent conference.	
9.4.	A number of guest speakers had made presentations and a number of	
	workshops had taken place.	
9.5.	The number of schools being Ofsted rated Good in the South West are	
	declining	
9.6.	Not many schools are now achieving Outstanding	
9.7.	Safeguarding had been discussed and the number of referrals made to	
	the MARU	
9.8.		



		-
	Issues regarding Governance in Cornwall had been addressed by	
9.9.	Jackie Eason	
	Pupil Premium interventions had been discussed and the use of the	
9.10	Sutton Tool kit	
9.11.	A discussion took place on the conference	
-	Thanks were expressed to JA and YO for attending	
10.	Policies;	
10.1.	The following policies were presented and accepted;	
10.2.	Pay	
10.3	Finance	
10.4	Child Protection	
10.5	Assessment	
10.6	Radicalisation	
10.7	Charging and Remissions Policy is currently being reviewed	
11	Diary Dates;	
11.1	5 <sup>th</sup> February 2018	
11.2	20 March 2018	
12	Exclusions; None presented	
13	Governor Effectiveness;	
	School Accounts have been monitored and audited	
	Auditors re appointed for 2018.	
	Governors have attended Governor Conference	
	Governor monitoring taken place; Safeguarding/ASP (RAISE)	
	Investigations continuing into becoming part of the new MAT with	
	four other schools	
	Policies approved	
	Governors email addresses set up on Outlook	
<u> </u>		1

## The meeting closed at 8.55pm

Signed;.....Date;.....